

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27559

Title: Results of single stage exchange arthroplasty with retention of well fixed ce-ment-less femoral component in management of infected total hip arthroplasty

Reviewer's code: 00467034

Reviewer's country: Argentina

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-06-06 16:36

Date reviewed: 2016-06-07 02:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting paper o a new treatment modality for infected THA that combines one stage treatment with retention of the femoral component. Title: It is not "Long-term", as the shortest folowup up is 3 years. Instead of defining virulence to common antibiotics, the authors should use a classification, for example, the one developed by McDonald et al.: McDonald DJ, Fitzgerald RH Jr, Ilstrup DM. Two-stage reconstruction of a total hip arthroplasty because of infection. J Bone Joint Surg [Am] 1989;71-A:828-34. Please add how many cases were excluded from this protocol, in order to know how often these cases could appear and be treated this way. 3 cases presented a fixed cup, and the authors removed it. Why was the cup chosen to be removed instead of the stem, as both of them were not loose? Would a debridement and retention have been successful?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27559

Title: Results of single stage exchange arthroplasty with retention of well fixed ce-ment-less femoral component in management of infected total hip arthroplasty

Reviewer's code: 01200726

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-06-06 16:36

Date reviewed: 2016-06-08 08:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present study reported no long-term results. Do the authors include single stage revision in the case with MRSA infection? Please describe using antibiotics more detail. Why did the authors remove well fixed cups instead of polyethylene change? Could the authors show representative radiographs?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27559

Title: Results of single stage exchange arthroplasty with retention of well fixed ce-ment-less femoral component in management of infected total hip arthroplasty

Reviewer's code: 02704994

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-06-06 16:36

Date reviewed: 2016-06-17 16:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

First of all, I would like to thank the authors for this interesting manuscript titled "Long-term results of Single Stage Acetabular component Exchange Arthroplasty With Retention of Well Fixed Cement-less Femoral Component in Management of Infected Total Hip Arthroplasty". Except for some revision, I think this paper gives us one of the management options for treatment of infected total hip arthroplasty provided strict selection criteria are met. I think the manuscript is suitable for the readers of World Journal of Orthopedics. About the study, I have the following questions and suggestions. Minor Compulsory Revisions Hypothesis Page 2 What is definition of the use of long-term suppressive antibiotics? Methods Page 4 1st and 2nd paragraphs should be in results section. Page 4 Regarding the operative procedure, the acetabular component was loose in 6 hips. Why did you remove the well-fixed acetabular components?