

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 30769

Title: Orthopaedic education in the era of surgical simulation: Still at the crawling stage

Reviewer's code: 02901974

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-10-19 16:15

Date reviewed: 2016-10-25 18:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors: I send you my commentaries. More or less are the same commentaries that I have made to the editor. In my opinion this article is only suitable to publish in the case of being an editorial. Methodologically the text needs more references about institutions probably well known in the USA but completely unknown for most potential readers outside USA. This is the case of the page 5 when you make reference of the cost of the simulator, page 6 when you cite the PBP, page 7 when you cite the measurement methods (I know that are detailed later, but it is necessary to detail here) or in page 8 when you talk about the ABOS. Third paragraph in page 4 is confusing to the reader. I would re-write again. Any relevant investigation is omitted, any concrete review is not made. The topic is very interesting and it is a very important question for the orthopedic forums and national societies not only in USA but all over the world. This text seems a letter to the editor or an opinion of the authors, not a research article. In conclusion, my opinion is to accept the paper for publishing, with this minor suggestions.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 30769

Title: Orthopaedic education in the era of surgical simulation: Still at the crawling stage

Reviewer's code: 02823555

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-10-19 16:15

Date reviewed: 2016-10-29 03:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nicely written narrative review on simulation for orthopedic surgery. Current elements of simulation are presented and future challenges are outlined for the improvement of surgical training and implementation of simulation. PMID: 27604368 could be integrated for the part on sensor technology for assessment of skill.