

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Anesthesiology

Ms: 2636

Title: Fractured tracheostomy tube obturator: A rare cause of respiratory distress in a tracheostomized patient.

Reviewer code: 00731695

Science editor: x.x.song@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-05 15:52

Date reviewed: 2013-03-20 18:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS

CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO EDITOR:

Topics dealing with aspiration of foreign bodies of whatever origin, including parts of tracheostomy tubes have been covered many times in various articles and case reports. Besides the characteristic of foreign body (fractured tracheostomy obturator) there is no real novelty in the way the authors managed to remove it. Some imprecisions and grammar errors make the text not very fluent in reading. The content of the paper is of limited scientific interest. It requires various corrections before resubmission.

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

The authors describe a subject which has been already reported in the literature. Besides the type of body aspirated no major novel findings are added in the way the respiratory distress was relieved (bronchoscopic removal of foreign body as usual). The discussion seems too long and dwells upon on the same concepts with repetitive statements. The case presentation requires a revision regarding spelling, grammar errors and imprecisions. More attention should be paid to some phrasal construction, as underlined in red in the attached file: