

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Anesthesiology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4877

**Title:** Complex regional pain syndrome: From diagnosis to rehabilitation.

**Reviewer code:** 00526025

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-07-30 21:46

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-06 12:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an excellent comprehensive review of complex regional pain syndrome. I think it is useful for physicians interested in pain. I have a few comments. Page 10, line 4: I think it might be "sensory" instead of "sensitive." I think it might be more comprehensive if the authors describe reports of use of pregabalin and opioids other than morphine for the treatment of CRPS. I think the authors did not describe them because there were scanty reports of use of pregabalin and opioids other than morphine. The description "there are few reports of use of pregabalin or opioids other than morphine for the treatment of CRPS" would make the readers understand the comprehensiveness of the authors. The authors describe sympathetic blockade for the treatment of CRPS. I think sympathetic blockade is rather invasive. So, I would recommend the authors write sympathetic blockade immediately before Section 6.2 (Other medical treatments). I would like to recommend the authors describe "intravenous regional blockade." END

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Anesthesiology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4877

**Title:** Complex regional pain syndrome: From diagnosis to rehabilitation.

**Reviewer code:** 02488500

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-07-30 21:46

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-12 01:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although well written, the manuscript and the content are not unique. There is nothing new here. The topic, data, and material has been covered, and in fact covered more comprehensively, in most major pain text books (Bonica, Raj, etc). Perhaps a literature review, or meta-analysis to discuss and assess for efficacy of treatments. In addition, the degree to which the treatments are discussed is extremely short and incomplete.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Anesthesiology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4877

**Title:** Complex regional pain syndrome: From diagnosis to rehabilitation.

**Reviewer code:** 02488862

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-07-30 21:46

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-12 21:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is a well designed article. I think it needs minor revision. Comment:language edition