

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Anesthesiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 28989

Title: Awareness during anesthesia: Current status in Japan

Reviewer's code: 02486704

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-07-29 11:24

Date reviewed: 2016-07-30 09:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author conducted three separate questionnaire surveys of anesthesiologists from 2008 through 2011 to assess awareness during anesthesia in Japan. This topic is very interesting and important. However, the text needs substantial revision, especially for the language, before for possible publication in the World Journal of Anesthesiology.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Anesthesiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 28989

Title: Awareness during anesthesia: Current status in Japan

Reviewer's code: 00503175

Reviewer's country: Croatia

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-07-29 11:24

Date reviewed: 2016-07-30 17:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Article "Awareness during anesthesia: current status in Japan" by Yasuhiro Morimoto is very interesting but before publication need some major revisions. Suggestions: 1. In the abstract is missing first sentence as introduction to the article (aim of the article). Also in the introduction are words TIVA and BIS without explanations. 2. Author cited one manuscript but without reference (Manyam et al). 3. The main challenge of this article is its structure. According to me the organisation of the manuscript is not properly and chapters Patients and Methods, Results and Discussion are missing. In the section Patients and Methods author must explained how he selected the participants, what are their characteristics, write exact questions in the survey, how they followed the participants ... Also the Ethical permission is missing. What statistics author used? In the Results author must explain the results: first survey, second survey and third survey. In the Discussion author must discussed and compare results. 4. Author must write exact number of tables with number not Table but Table 1.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Anesthesiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 28989

Title: Awareness during anesthesia: Current status in Japan

Reviewer's code: 00506178

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-07-29 11:24

Date reviewed: 2016-08-04 23:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for allowing me to review the manuscript " Awareness during anesthesia current status in Japan". This manuscript is interesting, however I am not sure that a direct cause-effect relationship between use of remifentanyl and awareness can be established. I would recommend this issue to be addressed before publishing the manuscript.