

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4577

Title: Potential Biomarkers about Malignant Melanoma

Reviewer code: 00646502

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-07-08 14:17

Date reviewed: 2013-07-09 05:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, congratulation to this well written paper that presents a very complete review concerning markers in melanoma. I only suggest a minor revision of the language to facilitate reading.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4577

Title: Potential Biomarkers about Malignant Melanoma

Reviewer code: 00646569

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-07-08 14:17

Date reviewed: 2013-07-24 16:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is interesting. English language needs revision. Please indicate the author(s) name(s) who performed the studies instead of "people" Page 9, section 8: What is the relationship among U-TAA and TA-90, please explain it. Please indicate the references by numbers in the text following each sentence.