

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7514

Title: LOOKING WITHIN THE LESION: LARGE SCALE TRANSCRIPTIONAL PROFILING OF PSORIATIC PLAQUES.

Reviewer code: 00646462

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-22 19:16

Date reviewed: 2013-12-20 11:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a good revision. I think that the abstract should not include references to or authors names. The introduction is very long, the description of clinical forms of psoriasis is redundant. I would leave out the tables and the figures.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7514

Title: LOOKING WITHIN THE LESION: LARGE SCALE TRANSCRIPTIONAL PROFILING OF PSORIATIC PLAQUES.

Reviewer code: 00382448

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-22 19:16

Date reviewed: 2013-12-22 20:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The revision is of good quality, complete and adequately up-to-date. The "Core Tip" section is missing. Minor language polishing is necessary. Figure 1 is of poor quality and should be deleted or replaced with a better one.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7514

Title: LOOKING WITHIN THE LESION: LARGE SCALE TRANSCRIPTIONAL PROFILING OF PSORIATIC PLAQUES.

Reviewer code: 00646464

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-22 19:16

Date reviewed: 2014-01-05 22:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a very good revision. I liked the table, the figures and the paper as a whole. There are minor language mistakes to be corrected.