

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Dermatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13266

**Title:** Knowledge explosion for monogenic skin diseases

**Reviewer code:** 02150997

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-15 19:00

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-30 16:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors present the knowledge explosion for genodermatoses caused by CYLD mutations. The authors give the opinion that BSS, FC and MFT1 are clinical variants of a disease spectrum of CYLD-associated disease, rather than different entities. But they seem to not perfectly reach this goal, and the manuscript is not in-depth enough, especially in the DISCUSSION. The authors should provide more information to increase the readability of the manuscript. So, I think that this manuscript could be reconsidered for publication if the authors are prepared to incorporate major revision. In addition, we give some specific advice to improve this manuscript. 1. The authors need a native English expert to enhance this manuscript. 2. As the requirement of this journal, standard abbreviations should be defined in the abstract and on first mention in the manuscript. 3. In DISCUSSION, the sentence "they are currently considered part of a phenotypic spectrum of the same entity" should be changed to "they are currently considered as part of a phenotypic spectrum of the same entity". 4. It is that mutations in the same gene could lead to different clinical phenotypes has been described previously. In this manuscript, the authors mentioned that several CYLD mutations lead to the development of all three clinical variants. It could be better if they give some more discussion on mechanism that why same mutation causes different phenotypes and why different mutations in the same gene cause the same clinical phenotype. 5. It would be better if the author provide more information about that 95 disease-causing CYLD mutations have been reported worldwide. 6. The label of the table "Table I" is not consistent with the



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

description in the Figure legend. In addition, the table should be changed to the standard three-line table. 7. The reference should be update. 8. The figure 2 is not meaningful enough, and it could be deleted

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Dermatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13266

**Title:** Knowledge explosion for monogenic skin diseases

**Reviewer code:** 00506525

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-15 19:00

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-19 09:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. If possible upgrade to 2014. 2. Putting the figure caption the meaning of the acronym.