

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14037

Title: New Innovation of Moisturizers containing non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents for Atopic Dermatitis

Reviewer code: 00646519

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-09-16 18:12

Date reviewed: 2014-10-06 23:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Many thanks for the opportunity of reviewing the manuscript "New innovation of moisturizers containing non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents for atopic dermatitis. These are my comments (some of them could be for all quoted treatments) 2. Vitamins and Minerals: It would be very interesting to know the point of view of the authors, i.e. what do author think if differences were not present? Please, insert you own opinion about treatment, what do authors suggest? 3.Lipids: What do authors think about the study, was it performed correctly, was there present any mistake? What significance was found to be present? Authors should comment about long term therapy in the previous studies. What about availability of the mentioned moisturizers? Do these therapies have a different cost apart? what about of side effects? A couple of phrases about it could be included

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14037

Title: New Innovation of Moisturizers containing non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents for Atopic Dermatitis

Reviewer code: 00646537

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-09-16 18:12

Date reviewed: 2014-09-16 18:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting and relevant review article in the area of atopic dermatitis. It would be nice if the details for each active agent was summarized in a table showing the level of evidence based on the available studies. Since you seem to be writing the article essentially to convey newer non-steroidal options, would suggest mentioning more recent references. In the author's experience mentioned at the end it would be good if the author could specify as to how many cases he has tried each of the agents specifically.