

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12113

Title: Mycosis fungoides: a mimicker of benign dermatoses

Reviewer's code: 02508408

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-23 13:45

Date reviewed: 2014-06-25 22:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting and clinically relevant review article regarding the clinical presentation and diagnosis of mycosis fungoides, with emphasis on the variety of skin conditions it can mimick. The article is generally well written, the information presented is up to date and clinically useful, and the literature has been reviewed in sufficient detail. Therefore, this should be a clinically useful review article.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12113

Title: Mycosis fungoides: a mimicker of benign dermatoses

Reviewer's code: 00728151

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-23 13:45

Date reviewed: 2014-08-11 06:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The abstract could be decreased. 2. English grammar could be corrected. 3. Tables and figures citations could be removed of discussion.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12113

Title: Mycosis fungoides: a mimicker of benign dermatoses

Reviewer's code: 00724342

Reviewer's country: Serbia

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-23 13:45

Date reviewed: 2014-07-31 19:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is well written, very illustrative. You need to add a schematic diagram of the algorithm of diagnostic procedures to obtain the correct diagnosis of different forms of the disease. Table 1 is too large. With some corrections, deserves to be published in the World Journal of Dermatology