

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Dermatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29034

**Title:** Review of the Treatment and Avoidance of Scald Injuries

**Reviewer's code:** 00502847

**Reviewer's country:** Saudi Arabia

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-07-29 15:11

**Date reviewed:** 2016-07-30 16:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

good idea as far as prevention of scalds is concerned. However I think the authors should concentrate on the measures to prevent scalds and avoid the scientific and practical medical aspect of burns management and leave that to specialized burns personnel. The rule of nine for surface area is no longer used by most of the burns centers. (please review the literature).

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Dermatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29034

**Title:** Review of the Treatment and Avoidance of Scald Injuries

**Reviewer's code:** 00502768

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-07-29 15:11

**Date reviewed:** 2016-08-08 23:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Please discuss the prehospital care in detail, such as cooling time. 2. The modified Parkland Formula should be added into the text.