

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hematology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21270

Title: Storage lesion: History and perspectives

Reviewer's code: 03319860

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-07-09 10:31

Date reviewed: 2015-07-25 22:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors performed a really interesting review of red blood cell storage lesion. It's well written and complete and detailed. The introduction, with history and general informations about the topic, is really useful. Figures and tables should be added, in order to fix the essential informations. Moreover, a paragraph regarding the international guidelines should be added. However, the review could be useful and clear for the readers and, after some revisions, it could be accepted for publication.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hematology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21270

Title: Storage lesion: History and perspectives

Reviewer's code: 02445848

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-07-09 10:31

Date reviewed: 2015-07-16 18:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well written and easy to read, only minor suggestions: 1) page 18, Pre-transfusion washing: it should be mentioned and discussed the use of this approach in Haemetological disorders and polytransfused patients. 2) Page 22, "Interestingly, this decreae in RBCC transfusion was closely correlated to a decrease of mortality". It should be mentioned and discussed the issue related to transfusion indications. Guidelines have been published, authors should mention the guidelines indications. 3) It is not clear to me the meaning of the last sentence. It could be better to delete it. 4) A table summarizing the different storage lesions could help readers.