

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Neurology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4435

Title: Secondary prevention of ischaemic stroke

Reviewer code: 00646456

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-06-29 21:10

Date reviewed: 2013-07-01 15:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an appropriate overview to understand the secondary prevention of stroke.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Neurology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4435

Title: Secondary prevention of ischaemic stroke

Reviewer code: 00646433

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-06-29 21:10

Date reviewed: 2013-07-08 18:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a didactic manuscript on stroke secondary prevention. The references are accurate, tables are informative. I suggest only minor point of revisions before acceptance. Although as stated in the introduction surgery and endovascular treatment are beyond the scope of the review, the authors may comment on what is considered "the best medical therapy" in particular condition as intracranial and carotid hemodynamic stenosis or patent foramen ovale. For example, in current clinical practice, in case of arteries stenosis blood pressure is not adequately lowered because of concerns regarding cerebral perfusion. Is this practice supported by evidence?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Neurology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4435

Title: Secondary prevention of ischaemic stroke

Reviewer code: 00071523

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-06-29 21:10

Date reviewed: 2013-07-09 10:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review focused on secondary prevention of ischemic stroke, summarized recent clinical trials, with emphasis on early and long-term prevention. Most of the information is not novel. Below are my comments. 1. The concept of early secondary prevention needs to be clearly defined. The combined antiplatelet therapy for early secondary prevention needs to be discussed. More information is needed in the sections of Glycemic control, Lipid lowering agents, and Antihypertensive agents. Are there any differences in these aspects between early and long-term secondary prevention? 2. Anti-inflammatory strategies, carotid endarterectomy and carotid-artery stenting should be discussed in this review. 3. This review article needs language polishing.