

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Neurology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14378

Title: Protein seeding in AD and PD; similarities and differences

Reviewer code: 00431211

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-10-01 10:44

Date reviewed: 2014-10-20 14:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

ESPS Manuscript NO: 14378, Comments for the authors In this paper, the authors review and discuss Protein seeding in AD and PD highlighting similarities and differences. Overall, the paper is very interesting, updated well written and comprehensive. I have just some minor comments: - I suggest mentioning in the introduction or discussion the more general issue of the role of protein misfolding in neurological and neurodegenerative diseases, such as ALS - An image would be helpful for readers

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Neurology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14378

Title: Protein seeding in AD and PD; similarities and differences

Reviewer code: 00646541

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-10-01 10:44

Date reviewed: 2014-10-08 05:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting manuscript, additional table and images can help to understand the mechanisms mentioned and the similarities and differences. Also, are not mentioned the combination of dementia in PD and the undelying pathology between tau, alpha synuclein and beta amyloid.