

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Manuscript NO: 64926

Title: Teenage pregnancy and their socio-demographic attributes as major contributor:

Findings from an urban referral center, Nepal

Reviewer's code: 00742368

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Nepal

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-25

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-02 12:50

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-19 01:47

Review time: 16 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The main comments: -Table 1 can be shortened -Tables 2 and 3 can be combined and shortened by including one variable and not its opposite. -Unsure if figure 1 is required -In the discussion, the authors report that upper Caste does not decrease teenage pregnancy though in the tables lower Caste was associated with increased rate of teenage pregnancy.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Manuscript NO: 64926

Title: Teenage pregnancy and their socio-demographic attributes as major contributor:

Findings from an urban referral center, Nepal

Reviewer's code: 01212783

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MPhil, MSc

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: Nepal

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-16 03:19

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-25 17:09

Review time: 9 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. The title does not reflect the main subject of the manuscript as there is the interchanging of "Teenage pregnancy" and "Adolescent pregnancy" throughout the manuscript, making it challenging to know the exact case definition for the study. Teenage pregnancy is not synonymous with adolescent pregnancy. Suggested better titles, depending on what the study covered, would be either "Predictors of adolescent pregnancy in an urban referral centre in Nepal" or "Predictors of teenage pregnancy in an urban referral centre in Nepal." 2 Abstract. The abstract is entirely about teenage pregnancy, but the title of this manuscript is about adolescent pregnancy. The authors need to be precise about what they studied. If they confined their study to pregnancy in the 10 – 19-year age group, the abstract would summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? 3 Keywords. It is challenging to know the focus of this manuscript and assess whether the keywords are appropriate, complete, reflect the manuscript's focus, and match MESH search terms. 4 Background. The background is inconsistent and confusing as "Teenage pregnancy" is regularly interchanged with Adolescent pregnancy. Depending on what the authors studied, the background should focus on either adolescent or teenage pregnancy. The authors failed to provide a convincing argument for undertaking this study 5 Methods. The manuscript describes the methods with insufficient detail and is unnecessarily verbose. The authors should provide a case definition that states the inclusion and exclusion criteria based on generally used definitions to enable readers to compare the studied population with their patients. The authors should make the methods succinct without adversely affecting the quality. 6 Results. The research appears to have achieved its objective, but it is a repetition of previously reported information/concepts and does not add anything new to what is already known about the topic or offer new insights or hypothesis



vis-à-vis aetiology or prevention. 7 Discussion. The manuscript does not interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, is inaccurate with some figures (quoting a teenage pregnancy rate of 18.8% for Africa when that rate is for the overall pooled prevalence of adolescent pregnancy in Africa (Kassa al. 2018, et https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-018-0640-2). In some instances, the authors used the wrong references (Reference 13 instead of 14), while in others, they used secondary rather than primary sources (Nepal - Teenage Pregnancy and Motherhood). The authors discussed their findings and their relevance to the literature without the manuscript's scientific significance or relevance to clinical practice. 8 References. The authors should address the concerns about some of their references as highlighted under discussions.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Manuscript NO: 64926

Title: Teenage pregnancy and their socio-demographic attributes as major contributor:

Findings from an urban referral center, Nepal

Reviewer's code: 01212783

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MPhil, MSc

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: Nepal

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-25

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-21 07:36

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-23 11:21

Review time: 2 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



1 Title. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. 2 Abstract. The abstract summarises and reflects the work described in the manuscript 3 Keywords. The keywords reflect the manuscript's focus, are appropriate, complete, and match MESH 4 Background. The manuscript adequately describes the background, search terms. present status, and significance of the study, and the authors provided a convincing argument for undertaking this study 5 Methods. The manuscript describes the methods concisely and in sufficient detail. 6 Results. The research appears to have achieved its objective and contributed to the discourse about teenage pregnancy in Nepal. 7 Discussion. The manuscript interprets the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely and clearly. The authors discussed their findings and their manuscript's relevance to the literature. 8 Illustrations and tables. The figures and tables are sufficient, good quality with adequate legends, and appropriately illustrative of the paper's contents. 9 Biostatistics. The manuscript meets the requirements of biostatistics. 10 Units. These requirements do not apply to this manuscript 11 References. The list of references is appropriate and relevant 12 Quality of manuscript organisation and presentation. The English language syntax and typographical errors need to be addressed. 13 Research methods and reporting. The authors have prepared the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods 14 Ethics statements. The authors obtained their institution's IRB and reporting. approval and the research participants' consent.