

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4906

Title: Centers Of Excellence in Minimally Invasive Gynecology - Raising the bar for quality in women's health

Reviewer code: 00742248

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-07-31 17:36

Date reviewed: 2013-08-02 10:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present manuscript submitted by Canning and Moawad reviewed the "Center of Excellence" concept for minimally invasive gynecologic surgery. It is well written and interesting. I believe that the manuscript is acceptable for publication in its present form.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4906

Title: Centers Of Excellence in Minimally Invasive Gynecology - Raising the bar for quality in women's health

Reviewer code: 00742249

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-07-31 17:36

Date reviewed: 2013-08-12 18:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments: The authors introduced COEMIG with its potential benefits and challenges for the patients, the applicant institution and surgeons. This manuscript is informative for the clinicians and researchers in this field, therefore is acceptable for publication. That is all.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4906

Title: Centers Of Excellence in Minimally Invasive Gynecology - Raising the bar for quality in women's health

Reviewer code: 00742220

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-07-31 17:36

Date reviewed: 2013-08-14 16:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think the present manuscript is usefull to diffuse the concept of the needing for a standardized model to evaluate the activity quality of the different centres with a continuous monitorization for the results. The manuscript is clear and consistent with the declared aim. I think it can be published in it's current form.