

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9903

Title: The association between gamete source, exposure and preeclampsia, a review of literature.

Reviewer code: 01350278

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-03-03 20:13

Date reviewed: 2014-03-04 22:31

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper reviewed the association of primipaternity, donated gametes/embryos and sexual cohabitation with preeclampsia. The topic is very interesting and contents are up to date. In general the review is well structured and written. Just few minor suggestions to improve the quality as follows: 1. Title: it is not clear what "exposure" and probably also "gamete source" meant, needed to be revised. 2. Authors: initial of first author is AB or AK? Please clarify. 3. Introduction and background: the objective should move to the end of session; the citation of an animal studies by Robertson et al to show the immunologic hypothesis should be replaced by a human study instead. 4. A table for primipaternity and a table for sexual cohabitation are recommended. 5. The last session Other Maternal and Paternal Factors seems not coherent with the theme of the reviews. 6. Summary: missing the sexual cohabitation 7. Table 1: better to divide the column with details type of study, samples for each group, main outcome measures, and outcomes in each group

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9903

Title: The association between gamete source, exposure and preeclampsia, a review of literature.

Reviewer code: 00742378

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-03-03 20:13

Date reviewed: 2014-03-13 22:43

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review is well written.