



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

ESPS manuscript NO: 8565

Title: Prevention of Shoulder Dystocia Related Birth Injuries: Myths and Facts.

Reviewer code: 00742221

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2013-12-30 15:39

Date reviewed: 2014-04-10 17:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the review is interesting

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

ESPS manuscript NO: 8565

Title: Prevention of Shoulder Dystocia Related Birth Injuries: Myths and Facts.

Reviewer code: 00858901

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2013-12-30 15:39

Date reviewed: 2014-04-21 08:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Leslie Iffy wrote the "Prevention of Shoulder Dystocia Related Birth Injuries: Myths and Facts", it is good paper in the Dystocia, I have no further comments, but the authors should revise it according the format of WJOG.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

ESPS manuscript NO: 8565

Title: Prevention of Shoulder Dystocia Related Birth Injuries: Myths and Facts.

Reviewer code: 00742363

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2013-12-30 15:39

Date reviewed: 2014-04-26 18:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> [] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well written though it could be shortened, there is a redundancy in the theme that 2 step delivery should be utilized more, otherwise it is a great and comprehensive review of the topic. There are several minor comments bellow: The methodology used is not described in this manuscript, rather the reader is referred to previous description in previous publication by the author – to me it took more than 10 minutes to get this information, I would expect the authors to add abbreviated description of the methods used. Can the author provide reference to the last 3 sentences in the first paragraph in the section DEFINITION OF SHOULDER DYSTOCIA. The author state that fractures of the skull is relatively frequent – can he add reference? In the section EFFECTS OF PRACTICE PATTERNS, subsection Electronic fetal heart rate monitoring- the entire paragraph related to internal scalp monitoring and its possible role in infections – us irrelevant and should be deleted. In the same section the subsection dealing with epidural anesthesia is to simple and needs elaboration and more details.