

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17922

Title: Postpartum intrauterine device contraception: A review

Reviewer's code: 00742054

Reviewer's country: Australia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-03-31 13:44

Date reviewed: 2015-04-23 10:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have make my specific comments on the paper. General comments: 1. The paper needs major language and punctuation polishing. The errors and mistakes in language and punctuations make some sections difficult to understand. Your paper will benefit from being edited by a native English-speaking academic. 2. Do any of these studies that have been reviewed identified the time of expulsion, infection, perforation etc.? For example, one of the studies you reviewed in the Result section as as follows: "One clinical trial evaluated levonorgestrel IUD use one year after planned cesarean in 42 women randomized to receive post placental insertion(within 10 minutes)at the time of scheduled cesarean or to delayed insertion 4-8 weeks after cesarean. The post placental IUD insertion group had a significantly higher expulsion rate than the interval insertion group (20% (4/20) vs. 0% (0/22), p = 0.04)." Does the paper mention the time of IUD expulsion? If you can find these information from the reviewed studies this will add more value to your work. 3. There are numbers in brackets throughout the Result section whose meaning and purpose are not known. For example, on page 5 , para 1, you wrote the following: "27 % (4/15) expulsion rate was similar for the for the IPP/EP groups, while no expulsions occurred in the interval INT 0 % (0/16). In addition, these 2



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

groups also reported significantly less pain upon insertion". What do (4/15) and (0/16) mean? Does it mean 4 out of 15? If yes, which group had 15 subjects? This is seen throughout the results section and makes it difficult to understand the meaning of the reports. I have highlighted some of them. 4. You may summarise the results of the review for the studies in a Table. 5. Great amounts of results have been repeated in the discussion section. You may need to remove repetitive parts, combine some of them and use sub-headings in both Results and Discussion section for ease of understanding and make your paper flow.