

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21572

**Title:** A review of the current surgical management of vulval cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 00742259

**Reviewer's country:** Netherlands

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-23 11:33

**Date reviewed:** 2015-10-12 16:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written review. I have some considerations: Page 3, last paragraph: 2 cm excision margin. Would be interesting to discuss as well the microscopically margin. Page 6, first paragraph, last sentence. It is not clear for me if the authors advocate sentinel lymph node testing or full lymph node dissection. Page 6, second paragraph (adjuvant therapies). It would be interesting to inform the readership about GROINSS-V II trial.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21572

**Title:** A review of the current surgical management of vulval cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 00742250

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-23 11:33

**Date reviewed:** 2015-10-17 18:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice review article. Paget's disease of the vulva is classified into intraepithelial and invasive. The authors should describe their recurrence and prognosis referring to a recent article (Nomura H et al. J Low Genit Tract Dis 2015; 19(2): 145).

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21572

**Title:** A review of the current surgical management of vulval cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 00742253

**Reviewer's country:** Lithuania

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-23 11:33

**Date reviewed:** 2015-10-28 00:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would like to congratulate the authors for choosing this interesting topic. Well done review.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21572

**Title:** A review of the current surgical management of vulval cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 00742054

**Reviewer's country:** Australia

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-23 11:33

**Date reviewed:** 2015-11-04 13:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- Paragraph 2, Introduction section (A systematic literature search was performed.....) needs to be put under a new heading as Methods. - In the same paragraph (para 2, page 1) numbers should not be used at the beginning of the sentence. Authors, please replace "54 papers were identified ..." with "Fifty-four papers were identified ...." - Page 4, para 2 (after Figure 1): The paragraph starts with "Hacker et al, 2000 investigated the correlation between depth....". This reference is not listed in the End of Text Reference List. Please either add to the list or remove from the text - The section "Post-operative complications and psychosexual consequences" barely discusses sexual problems of this group of patients. - Great amount of information has been provided in the paper without referring to a reliable resource. ie. page 7, the entire sections "Post-operative complications and psychosexual consequences" and "Paget's disease of vulva". - Page 8, para 1 - "Despite these promising results, HPV is the main aetiological causes ..... as outlines in this review, can be circumvented". This long paragraph needs to be referenced appropriately. - Although the title gives the reader the idea of reading the results of current and latest research, the authors have used limited number of research on the topic. They have also used several papers that are older than 15 years



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

(reference 1, 2, 3, 8, 10, 14, 19). - There is a need to another section at the end of the paper, before Conclusion, that highlights the shortcomings of the currents practice and the need for future research in this field.