

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 27642

**Title:** Screening and diagnosis of endometrial cancer in Lynch syndrome

**Reviewer's code:** 00742046

**Reviewer's country:** Taiwan

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-06-14 12:25

**Date reviewed:** 2016-06-20 12:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[ Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to WJOG 22301 entitled "Gynaecological Management of Lynch Syndrome: Review of the Literature" The authors made a review discussing the hereditary disease—Lynch syndrome, which is significantly associated with some of cancers from the gynecologic organs, especially endometrial cancer. The authors finally commented that chemoprevention by progestin-containing oral pills and monitoring and treatment of premalignant lesion could minimize the risk of occurrence of endometrial cancer. This article is well written and acceptable.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 27642

**Title:** Screening and diagnosis of endometrial cancer in Lynch syndrome

**Reviewer's code:** 00742054

**Reviewer's country:** Australia

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-06-14 12:25

**Date reviewed:** 2016-06-20 19:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- Firstly and most importantly, the title of the paper is "Gynaecological management of Lynch syndrome"; however, great proportion of the paper discusses the screening and diagnosis of the disease. The only section that has been assigned to the management of the disease, is one paragraph before "Conclusion" section on page 15 (Treatment and prognosis of endometrial cancer in Lynch syndrome). I think the authors need to either change the title of the paper to "Screening and diagnosis of endometrial cancer in Lynch syndrome" or re-write the papers and address treatment approaches for this medical condition. - Introduction section: (a) First paragraph, line3 until the end of the first paragraph needs to be moved to the next section (pathogenesis of lynch syndrome). (b) The Introduction section needs re-writing. It needs to include some background information about the lynch syndrome, what it is, its symptoms, mortality and morbidity in general population, and risk factors. It also needs to highlight to purpose of his review paper and what kind of information this paper will provide. (c) page 2, last three paragraphs: there should be better justification of why there is a need for sensitive and specific screening approaches. - Lynch Syndrome Epidemiology: First paragraph, line 3: this sentence (This last associated colorectal cancer and ....) is vague. Please

rewrite or explain more. - "Ovarian Cancer in LS", page 7: This section is rather short and does not have enough information about the ovarian cancer in LS. What is the prevalence of ovarian cancer in LS patients? What are the predisposing factors? - "Diagnostic of LS in case of gynaecological cancers", page 7, para 3: this paragraph has been written in a very simple way. Review of this reference (Knowen et al 2011) needs more in depth details of cost effectiveness and comparisons with other research. - "Treatment and prognosis of endometrial cancer in Lynch syndrome" page 14: This section is very short and does not include significant information about the treatment approaches of Lynch syndrome or gynaecological cancers associated with the syndrome. The authors may remove this section and change the tile of the paper, or expand this section nd provide more details of the treatment options. - References: There are many paragraphs that need references. For example, page 5 para 2, page 6 para 1, page 7 para 1, page 13 para 2 & 4, page 13 para 3.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 27642

**Title:** Screening and diagnosis of endometrial cancer in Lynch syndrome

**Reviewer's code:** 00742250

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-06-14 12:25

**Date reviewed:** 2016-06-15 04:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good review for Lynch syndrome and acceptable for publication in the journal.