

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*

Manuscript NO: 78158

Title: Medical malpractice litigation involving otolaryngology residents and fellows: a case-based 30-year review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06208740

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Full Professor, Nurse

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Portugal

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-12 12:50

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-19 21:40

Review time: 7 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The material is interesting and the topic is relevant. The method seems to have been followed faithfully and the authors were well-positioned to conduct the analysis. Despite these positives in my view the paper needs more work before it could be published and I have made some specific suggestions below: - The literature addressed is not described accurately so far as I can see. Relevant literature should be presented more deeply in order to support the research problem. Discuss the international relevance of the phenomenon and describe thoroughly the rationale for the study in the context of what is already known. More actual references should be provided. - The discussion section should be reorganized because they are poor. I believe there should be a better integration of the results with the existing literature. - The recommendations for practice/research/education/policy should have been approached in greater depth. **CHECKLIST FOR STYLE** The manuscript is clearly written and will serve a broad audience of students, researchers, and practitioners.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*

Manuscript NO: 78158

Title: Medical malpractice litigation involving otolaryngology residents and fellows: a case-based 30-year review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05905244

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-16 04:31

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-22 04:58

Review time: 6 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Abstract should contain introduction/background section to clarify the rationale of the study 2. Correct the following sentence and verify the year. This collection stores every appellate federal and state case spanning all 50 U.S. states from January 1790 to present day. 3. The cases can be also categorised like from 1990-2000, 2001-2010 and 2011-2020 to understand the nature, type and trend of cases. 4. The median payout can be calculated as per the cases wise to understand the distribution of payout. 5. What's the current status of the trainee after case, i.e. practising status, licence etc. 6. The cases can be any type of error but it can be stretched to the training importance, so that the implementation can be done on particular point. The errors you identified are correct but whether it's related to training or personal skill, needs to be addressed.