PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Respirology*

Manuscript NO: 83640

Title: Monoclonal Antibody for COVID 19 - Unveiling the Recipe of a New Cocktail

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05480683

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Academic Research, Adjunct Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-03 20:42

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-05 14:57

Review time: 1 Day and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

World Journal of Respirology   Manuscript 83640 Research review Monoclonal Antibody for COVID 19 - Unveiling the Recipe of a New Cocktail A Jyoti Bajpai, Surya Kant, Ajay Kumar Verma and Akshyaya Pradhan C Comment This is an interesting manuscript which cover the clinical utility of monoclonal antibodies for the management of COVID 19 patients. As stated by the authors, use of Ab cocktails is anticipated to be a key component of an efficient COVID-19 treatment plan. The topic is highly interesting and important. However, the manuscript is very difficult to read, and needs improvements in terms of, typo errors, readability, scientific writing and presentation. The table is useful and detailed. I recommend a major revision. Pease see my comments: 1. The ms should absolutely be revised for the presence of numerous typo errors, e.g., lines 104-105, 119, 129, 195-196 etc.. 2. Please check "Covid 19" that should be "COVID-19". Similarly, "covid" should be replaced with "COVID-19". The entire text should be revised 3. Line 43 Please include this reference https://doi.org/10.3390/v13091687 4. Line 64 "events. "(6,7Furthermore," typo 5. Lines 57-58 Please include this additional supporting reference

https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10061193   6. Lines 81-83 please include this reference https://www.nature.com/articles/s41573-022-00495-3   7. References should not be included in the subhead titles in lines 110, 122, 131,

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[　] Grade A: Excellent　　[Y] Grade B: Good　　　[　] Grade C: Fair [　] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[　] Grade A: Priority publishing　[　] Grade B: Minor language polishing　[Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing　[　] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[　] Accept (High priority)　[　] Accept (General priority) [　] Minor revision　[Y] Major revision　[　] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes　[　] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous　[　] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [　] Yes　[Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript submitted for review is interesting in its conception and design, however, it lacks novelty. It should update its references, since there are several original articles and systematic reviews on the subject. It should improve the English language and worry about improving its graphics. Figure 1 is unnecessary and figure 2 should give more information perhaps on the mechanisms underlying the contraindication.