



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Respiriology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16598

**Title:** Effectiveness of adaptive servo-ventilation

**Reviewer's code:** 00608210

**Reviewer's country:** Thailand

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-25 20:21

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-26 13:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[ Y ] No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I appreciate this manuscript. However, there are too many words. If possible, please summarize some contents and show in Table.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Respiriology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16598

**Title:** Effectiveness of adaptive servo-ventilation

**Reviewer's code:** 00608206

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-25 20:21

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-02 03:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

**GENERAL COMMENTS:** Review article on the effectiveness of adaptive servo-ventilation. The subject is topical and the review is written correctly. Level of Interest: Review article. Topic of interest serviced properly. References are acceptably updated. 30% of them (31/94) are the last five years. The structure of the review article is correct. The title is clear and concise. The Abstract is somewhat confused by the amount of acronyms used. In introducing an approximation is made the subject of study properly and an updated bibliography. The section on the fundamentals of adaptive servo-ventilation (ASV) adequately explains the basis of this advanced mode of ventilation. The analyses of the effects of ASV on the respiratory and cardiovascular systems adequately explain the pathophysiologic basis of its use. The section on the usefulness of the ASV collects its main clinical indications and discusses the advantages and disadvantages of their use in each indication. Future prospects leave open possible research on the ASV. The Figure 1 is adequate and very illustrative. The tables are suitable. References are acceptably updated (31/94 for the last five years). There are no ethical problems. **SPECIFIC COMMENTS:** **TITLE:** Correct. Specific, it adequately contains the primary endpoint. (Words: 5). **ABSTRACT:** The Abstract is somewhat confused by the amount of



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

acronyms used. Key words: Correct but you might value added ventilation modes: CPAP. BiPAP or bi-level PAP and Adaptive servo-ventilation. INTRODUCTION: Clear and correct introduction. Well structured. Clearly indicates the purpose of the article. The DIFFERENT SECTIONS are clear and well structured. REFERENCES: References are acceptably updated (31/94 for the last five years). TABLES: The tables are suitable. FIGURES: The Figure 1 is adequate and very illustrative. The review is presented in a clear and correct form. MINOR COMMENTS: View comments on Keyword and Abstract.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Respiriology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16598

**Title:** Effectiveness of adaptive servo-ventilation

**Reviewer's code:** 00570480

**Reviewer's country:** Australia

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-25 20:21

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-26 10:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review is quite comprehensive and easy to read with excellent grammar and spelling. To improve the quality of the paper I would suggest 1. a more succinct and focussed abstract focussed on recommendations 2. A summary table of recommendations for treatment for the various conditions described 3. Add tables for the treatment trials of the other conditions described - this could be a combined table 4. With increasing the tables as summaries more of an overview can be given in the text and refer specifics to the tables the length of the manuscript can be shortened 5. The face mask etc pictures do not add new knowledge and can be deleted but rather a technical description of the importance of the circuit type and humidification in ASV would be useful.