

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Respiriology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16711

Title: Causes of Failure in ARDS modeling and treatment in Animal Research and New Approaches

Reviewer's code: 00608249

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 19:32

Date reviewed: 2015-02-23 12:25

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The clinical significance of this manuscript is unclear. The author tries to review the limitations of animal models but there is no citation of specific references or evidence based approach. The whole manuscript reads as an opinion without specific references for most of the sentences. IT is known that animal models do not accurately mimic human disease but this can be justified using a better evidence-based approach. In addition the organization of the text throughout the manuscript is difficult to follow, lacks good flow since similar themes are mentioned randomly throughout the manuscript rather than in separate sections .The text can be shorted significantly and replaced by summary tables and figures. For example tables outlining the strengths and limitations of each animal model and figure summarizing the pathogenesis of ARDS. Avoid vague language such as "etc" and also long sentences.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Respirology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16711

Title: Causes of Failure in ARDS modeling and treatment in Animal Research and New Approaches

Reviewer's code: 00608210

Reviewer's country: Thailand

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 19:32

Date reviewed: 2015-02-03 14:24

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | [Y] No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | [Y] No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good review. No comment.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Respiriology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16711

Title: Causes of Failure in ARDS modeling and treatment in Animal Research and New Approaches

Reviewer's code: 00608195

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 19:32

Date reviewed: 2015-02-05 01:10

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is a simple, almost like an editorial, paper about causes of failure in ARDS modeling and treatment in animal research. It lacks the introduction, the description of animal models mimicking human ARDS causes and pathology, the limitations of ARDS models, the new approaches about this subject.