

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Respiriology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16838

Title: Post Splenectomy Related Pulmonary Hypertension

Reviewer's code: 02494917

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-30 17:49

Date reviewed: 2015-02-24 09:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review manuscript has described important aspects of pulmonary hypertension post splenectomy patients. The paper is good prepare to publication.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Respirology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16838

Title: Post Splenectomy Related Pulmonary Hypertension

Reviewer's code: 02495934

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-30 17:49

Date reviewed: 2015-02-26 23:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is well-thought that this paper would have a little literatural errors formally. Finally, this paper is acceptable with minor revision and useful for publish in the journal. The manuscript make an incremental contribution to the field, originality of the work is acceptable. Design of the manuscript is also good.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Respirology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16838

Title: Post Splenectomy Related Pulmonary Hypertension

Reviewer's code: 02493519

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-30 17:49

Date reviewed: 2015-02-01 12:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General comment This review article has correctively shed light upon the various aspects of post-splenectomy-related pulmonary hypertension. The reviewer supposes that this article is very much useful for making pulmonary physicians understand the underlying mechanisms of pulmonary hypertension after splenectomy. Although the reviewer has no major criticism on this article, there are two issues for which the reviewer feels difficulty to grasp their essential meanings. 1) p. 4; "?????Thomsen et al. found a 19.8-fold increased (95% CI, 8.8–44.7) and 2.3-fold (95% CI, 1.3–4.1) risk of DVT and a 32.6-fold (95% CI, 13.9–76.3) and 3.2-fold (95% CI, 1.8–5.5) higher risk of PE in splenectomized patients compared with the general population and appendectomy patients, respectively". This sentence is complicated and difficult to be understood. Please simplify the sentence and let readers (including physicians who are not native speakers of English) understand it easily. 2) Fig. 1; Looking at this figure, the authors seem to consider that there are two major types of pulmonary hypertension elicited by splenectomy; i.e., pulmonary hypertension caused by pulmonary vasculopathy (increased vasoconstrictor of endothelin-1 and decreased vasodilator of NO) and pulmonary hypertension in association with chronic thromboembolism (CTEPH). However,



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

there is no definite description concerning pulmonary vasculopathy in the text. Please specify the definition on pulmonary vasculopathy in a more detailed fashion. 3) Minor comment (p. 6); Garozzo et al have reported???, Please insert the period after "et al".

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Respiriology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16838

Title: Post Splenectomy Related Pulmonary Hypertension

Reviewer's code: 02494289

Reviewer's country: Serbia

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-30 17:49

Date reviewed: 2015-03-01 04:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript NO: 16838 In the review article titled "Post Splenectomy Related Pulmonary Hypertension" the authors discussed about complex pathogenesis of pulmonary hypertensive disease after splenectomy. Additionally, the authors provided insights into the diagnostic and therapeutic approaches, but also the future directions regarding the management of this condition. The manuscript is informative and readable and the rationale for this work is solid. Abstract should be included into the manuscript. Subtitles in the text could be more informative and adjusted to the following text (e.g. Megakaryocytes or Nitric Oxide). Add Figure legend to the Figure 1. All references should be checked and the text should be properly formatted according to the Instructions for authors.