

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Respiriology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19844

Title: Pulmonary effects of intermittent, seasonal exposure to high concentrations of cotton dust

Reviewer's code: 02446061

Reviewer's country: Mexico

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2015-05-23 17:08

Date reviewed: 2015-08-01 01:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors: Some points should be treated before consideration of your manuscript to WJR. a) Minor typing, grammar errors. b) The content is attractive. However, I suggest you additional references supporting the use of your methods. But also, the same for presenting and discuss your results. c) Please remark 'in better way' the new insights obtained from your study (The features of puplation studied, country, enviromental features, etc). This should improve the apparence of your article. In the current form appear to be a re-make of similar studies. d) Conclusion section (albeit it is not with heading) should be clear.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Respiriology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19844

Title: Pulmonary effects of intermittent, seasonal exposure to high concentrations of cotton dust

Reviewer's code: 02446079

Reviewer's country: Netherlands

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2015-05-23 17:08

Date reviewed: 2015-08-16 05:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There are several minor grammatical, linguistic and mistakes in nomenclature. I have corrected them, corrections indicated by track changes in the attached copy of the corrected manuscript. The authors are advised be advised to carefully check for any additional errors, and correct them

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Respiriology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19844

Title: Pulmonary effects of intermittent, seasonal exposure to high concentrations of cotton dust

Reviewer's code: 00502932

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2015-05-23 17:08

Date reviewed: 2015-08-13 06:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well done and written study