

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Respirology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 22427

**Title:** Multicenter cooperative observational study of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis with non-small cell lung cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 02494634

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-09-04 11:39

**Date reviewed:** 2015-09-04 18:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well performed study on a relevant subject. The presentation is good, the quality of writtwn English as well. The left margins on the title page look odd. This is my only concern. I recommend acceptance as it is.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Respirology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 22427

**Title:** Multicenter cooperative observational study of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis with non-small cell lung cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 00505755

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-09-04 11:39

**Date reviewed:** 2015-10-30 16:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is the important observational study for emphasizing the adverse effects of anti-cancer treatment. The manuscript will be improved if the differences between the time-course of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and the previous reports about idiopathic interstitial pneumonias are clearly described.