



ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stomatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14329

Title: Unusual aggressive behavior of central giant cell granuloma following tooth extraction

Reviewer code: 02844172

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-30 14:37

Date reviewed: 2014-10-08 10:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review of the World Journal of Stomatology No.14329 This is an article reporting a case of central giant cell granuloma of the mandible. The authors emphasized aggressive growth of this tumor after tooth extraction. Giant cell granuloma of the jaw is a rare lesion and worth being published, but there are some concerns, which should be revised before being accepted for publication in the World Journal of Stomatology.

1. Please rotate Figure 3 180 degrees counter-clockwise.
2. Figure 5 is blurry. Please change it to a clearer one.
3. As the authors commented in Discussion, there are several lesions which should be histologically distinguished from giant cell granuloma, such as giant cell tumor, aneurysmal bone cyst and other giant cell containing lesions. The authors need to comment why they finally diagnosed their own case as giant cell granuloma. No discussion is made on the histological differentiation among these lesions.
4. Intralesional steroid injection is considered to be a treatment of the first choice against giant cell granuloma, especially for non-aggressive type. Though the case presented seemed to be the aggressive type, I consider that steroid injection should have been done as the primary treatment. Please comment why steroid injection was not tried, and why radical surgery was chosen.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stomatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14329

Title: Unusual aggressive behavior of central giant cell granuloma following tooth extraction

Reviewer code: 00501315

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-30 14:37

Date reviewed: 2014-10-03 09:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a case report to describe central giant cell granuloma (CGCG) in jaws with rapidly increasing intraoral and extraoral swelling of lower jaw following tooth extraction, which diagnosed by radiographic and histopathological examinations. The case report shows some valuable information to understand the diagnosis and treatment for this disease in future. The manuscript is written well and organized reasonable. So, I would like suggest this manuscript should make minor revision as follows: 1、 In case reports: Please add more information if there are some specific biochemical tests or clinical examination to identify with cancer, inflammation in tooth and jaw. 2、 In case reports: Please add more information if it could make some immunohistochemical staining with the identification of benign and malignant tumors in tooth and jaw.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stomatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14329

Title: Unusual aggressive behavior of central giant cell granuloma following tooth extraction

Reviewer code: 00004678

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-30 14:37

Date reviewed: 2014-09-30 17:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have only one comment: fig 5 needs to be more in focus , left and right side are different concerning the light, the red numbers on lower right hand side should be deleted. Please use either post-imaging software to improve the histological image or produce a new image better in focus!