

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics*

**Manuscript NO:** 86121

**Title:** Situs inversus totalis in an asymptomatic adolescent: importance of patient education: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03119204

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-06-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-08-16 11:01

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-08-16 13:38

**Review time:** 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

**Comments To Authors:** Original Findings and Hypotheses: While the manuscript presents an intriguing case of Situs inversus totalis (SIT), it is essential to explicitly state the unique aspects of this specific case compared to other reported SIT cases. What makes this case distinct or rare? Please elucidate on any new hypotheses this study has introduced, and which among them were experimentally confirmed. Detail any new phenomena discovered through your diagnostic methods or patient examinations.

**Quality, Importance, and Insights of the Manuscript:** The manuscript seems promising, but for its enhanced value, please clarify the novel findings of this study explicitly. Are there new concepts or methods introduced in your report regarding SIT's diagnosis, management, or implications? If so, please highlight them.

Additionally, for a holistic understanding and to authenticate your findings, please consider integrating results or images from the Transthoracic echocardiogram. If images are included, ensure the consent of the patient has been secured, and personal identifiers are suitably removed to uphold patient confidentiality. Clarify any innovative insights your case presents and any significant challenges in the SIT field that your



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

report addresses or overcomes. Limitations, Future Directions, and Impacts: Every study has its constraints. Kindly highlight the limitations of your study, especially in terms of generalizability, methodological restrictions, or other potential biases.

Indicate potential future research directions emerging from your case. Are there unanswered questions prompted by your findings that need exploration? Discuss how your findings might shape basic science understanding of SIT or influence clinical practices. The concluding segment seems to generalize advice for SIT patients. Refining this section to draw more direct conclusions from this particular case will provide clearer insights for readers. Lastly, consider elaborating on how your case might stimulate new questions or avenues for research, either for your team or the broader scientific community. In summary, while your case report on Situs inversus totalis offers potential value, we advise refining its presentation to address the unique aspects of the case, the novel insights it brings to the field, and its implications for future research and clinical practices.