

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 88783

Title: Gastrointestinal Tolerability of Organic Infant Formula Compared to

Traditional Infant Formula: A Mini-Review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05842368
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Bahrain

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-09

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-01 02:39

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-14 02:54

**Review time:** 13 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript compared the organic infant formula with the traditional infant formula from definition, ingredients & composition to gastrointestinal tolerability. Overall the manuscript was well written, but due to the scarcity of literature evidence, the analysis and conclusion of the manuscript was limited a lot. Besides, in my personal oppnion, the manuscript was redundant, a lot of duplicate content in the context, should be reduced and make the main conclusion more clear.



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 88783

Title: Gastrointestinal Tolerability of Organic Infant Formula Compared to

Traditional Infant Formula: A Mini-Review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05842368 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Bahrain

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-09

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-14 14:46

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-14 15:12

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the manuscript file, it only contains 'introduction' and 'conclusion', and other parts were got lost.