



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics*

Manuscript NO: 89139

Title: Effect of nutrition -related infodemics and social media on maternal experience: A nationwide survey in a low/middle income country

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 04555234

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Fellow

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: China

Author’s Country/Territory: Egypt

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-21

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-12 02:31

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-12 03:13

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic is interesting. The baseline characteristics of the parents and children was not fully presented in the manuscript, such as the gender of child, education level, income level, number of children, religion ... As one of the key tools in this study is the 18-question questionnaire, however, how is the accuracy, reliability, and validity of the self-designed questionnaire was not fully presented. Statistical methods were not effectively and fully used in the study. For example, using the regression analyses to detect the independent risk factors.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics*

Manuscript NO: 89139

Title: Effect of nutrition -related infodemics and social media on maternal experience: A nationwide survey in a low/middle income country

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 03270754

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PharmD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Associate Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: China

Author’s Country/Territory: Egypt

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-21

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-12 02:52

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-14 00:41

Review time: 1 Day and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.This research focused on Effect of nutrition related infodemics and social media on maternal experience: A nationwide survey in a low/middle income country, after check the pubmed, there were not so many articles about this topic, so this manuscript was very prospective and significant. 2.This manuscript focus on nutrition of the maternal people , with strong practical significance for low/middle income country, and also met the submission topic of this journal, but some places can be more perfect. 3.In table 3, no social media can have more good nutrition knowledge, why? 4.Figures should be done by professional software, Tables not normal 3 line tables. 5.All online survey, although you have pointed out the Strength and Limitation, how can you affirm these survey were finished by themselves not by their children? 6.English should be more polish.