

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9815

Title: Cyclical Vomiting Syndrome – Recognition, Assessment and Management

Reviewer code: 01213276

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-03-02 20:05

Date reviewed: 2014-03-12 14:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A very concise, well written, review paper. My criticism is minor. Even the term cyclical is proper, cyclic is more often used to describe the syndrome. References should be cited according to the rules and formats established by the instructions to authors.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9815

Title: Cyclical Vomiting Syndrome – Recognition, Assessment and Management

Reviewer code: 02446483

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-03-02 20:05

Date reviewed: 2014-03-28 06:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic of the research is very interesting and presented in a scientific and clear manner. The manuscript addresses many of the essential criteria needed to reach a diagnosis and treat the cyclic vomiting syndrome. It also highlights its prevalence in school aged children which hinders their school progress and troubles the whole family. The title is consistent with the research study and content. The abstract is informative and gives the reader a general idea about the CVS and delineates the research background, objectives and conclusions. It recommends more research and study to reach a definite diagnosis and treatment for CVS. I would prefer that the authors would suggest new methods of diagnosis and treatment and a future plan to implement a practical study on more Worldwide children. The introduction is a repetition of the same information in the abstract. The word bilious is misspelled in line 5 of the introduction (bilous) and formatting error in line six as there should be a space before the word (Effect) at the beginning of the sentence. More misspellings are present. The epidemiology and clinical picture show a good effort from the authors to search existing cases and explain their presentation and the precipitating factors. The diagnosis ,investigation and treatment are well presented except for the missing TABLE1 they refer to by the end of page 5. The conclusion is weak and the discussion lacks the scientific approach of analyzing the criteria for diagnosis and treatment. Future recommendations are not clearly specified towards a more practical approach for diagnosis and treatment. Moreover, a table or more discussion for the inborn errors of metabolism needs to be provided. Stereotypical episode needs to be clarified! Four lines for psychotherapy are not enough. It is necessary much more discussion on the role of the psychotherapy for CVS!