

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 16776

Title: Clinical Asthma Phenotyping; a Trial for Bridging Gaps in Asthma Management

Reviewer's code: 00646232

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-29 16:41

Date reviewed: 2015-02-05 20:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

My Review: Dear respected editor; I read with interest this article about (Clinical Asthma Phenotyping; a Trial for Bridging Gaps in Asthma Management). The topic is relatively new and there are few data about the topic of the study especially in children and I think there are very few articles in the same field. I strongly recommend acceptance of this article. However, just I recommend that the author is be better do a table demonstrating the various asthma phenotypes with its genetic basis, pathological basis, lung functions, clinical presentation as well as its treatment.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 16776

Title: Clinical Asthma Phenotyping; a Trial for Bridging Gaps in Asthma Management

Reviewer's code: 00646241

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-29 16:41

Date reviewed: 2015-01-31 05:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In their paper, "Clinical Asthma Phenotyping; a Trial for Bridging Gaps in Asthma Management" the author presents an informative overview covering several aspects that may be relevant for a possible subclassification of asthma, presenting a limited review of the literature in the field. The work contains most of the information necessary, however several details should be clarified or added. Asthma may be subclassified by aspects of clinical presentation, molecular genetic findings – that first have to be defined – and by certain laboratory findings, e.g. sputum analysis. Asthma therapy may be stratified on the basis of such a subclassification, either depending on logical conclusions (asthma with predominant cough may be treated by antitussiva, or asthma with predominantly allergic features may be treated by antiallergics) – or on statistical findings. However, any such stratification should be validated by further clinical studies to achieve evidence. In the work, several analyses or interpretations are collected, however, the evidence levels of the findings are not given, results from numerous studies ranging from molecular genetics to clinical presentations, are just collected, and clear conclusions are lacking – the authors do not propose algorithms for appropriate therapy stratifications, nor do they develop a strategy to gain evidence for such an



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

algorithm. Any of their information would be more clearly understandable if additionally presented in form of a table. Besides, some language polishing seems still necessary (see below). Thus the paper should be substantially improved to be published. Some examples of the numerous language problems: p.1 Instead of This article reviews different published work in terms of unbiased approaches write This article reviews different published works in terms of unbiased approaches p.2. Instead of The fact that there was a group of asthmatic patients with variable presentations who did not respond write The fact that there is a group of asthmatic patients with variable presentations who do not respond p.3. Instead of these different phenotypes of asthma still have diverse underlying biologic disease processes in each individual write these different phenotypes of asthma are based on diverse underlying biologic disease processes in each individual and so forth.