

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 19442

Title: Use of laparoscopy as the initial surgical approach of impalpable testes: 10-year experience

Reviewer's code: 00742009

Reviewer's country: Singapore

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-05-09 20:47

Date reviewed: 2015-06-12 14:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a retrospective study of 50 children with impalpable testes who have been managed by laparoscopy as the initial treatment and perhaps the initial diagnostic procedure as well. The paper is well written and the messages are clear. As most of the readers are not pediatric surgeons, it would be most helpful if the authors can explain in the Introduction how undescended testes/impalpable testes are classified, if there is a natural history for spontaneous descend without intervention, and what the risks are if the undescended testes are not treated. The authors may also want to elaborate on the role of imaging in the case of impalpable testis. Is age of the patient a determining factor in terms of choice of procedure, technical restrictions, or successful orchidopexy?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 19442

Title: Use of laparoscopy as the initial surgical approach of impalpable testes: 10-year experience

Reviewer's code: 00723080

Reviewer's country: Serbia

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-05-09 20:47

Date reviewed: 2015-06-22 01:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is very interesting and important from the clinical point of view. There is one difference between abstract and text of the manuscript. In abstract authors wrote "From January 2004 to June 2014", while in the text of the manuscript they wrote "From January 2004 to January 2014". What is correct, January or June 2014? Manuscript will be improved if authors add a few intraoperative procedure pictures.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 19442

Title: Use of laparoscopy as the initial surgical approach of impalpable testes: 10-year experience

Reviewer's code: 00739711

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-05-09 20:47

Date reviewed: 2015-06-12 20:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It would be useful to describe the initial approach to undescendant testis. After the initial diagnosis, which is the decision making algorithm until proposing the surgical exploration/treatment? Very well written.