

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 21828

Title: Should Dopamine be the first line inotrope in the treatment of neonatal hypotension? Review of the evidence.

Reviewer's code: 00503255

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-31 10:34

Date reviewed: 2015-11-26 12:14

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors conducted a systematic review to determine if dopamine would make a good first line drug therapy for hypotension in the neonatal population and concluded that dopamine could be considered as a first line inotrope in preterm neonatal hypotension. This review paper is well-written and has valuable information regarding treatment for neonatal hypotension. One point below may be better to add in the paper. Minor point 1. How does dopamine induce transient pituitary dysfunction? This information may help readers to understand the paper.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 21828

Title: Should Dopamine be the first line inotrope in the treatment of neonatal hypotension? Review of the evidence.

Reviewer's code: 00646241

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-31 10:34

Date reviewed: 2015-11-28 20:00

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the paper "Should Dopamine be the first line inotrope in the treatment of neonatal hypotension? Review of the evidence", the authors Bayat et al. present a literature-based review on treatment of neonatal hypotension, performed as Cochrane-type analysis focusing on given evidence levels. This is a very important study, since hypotension is a common problem in neonates, with no standard treatment defined, and since the possible consequences of hypotension may be hazardous for the patients' development. The study was diligently performed and is well written. As it is commonly the case in such studies, a number of studies that had been collected had to be excluded from analysis, and the remaining ones do not all have the same focus, so that gaining absolute evidence still is difficult. Importantly, the authors do not only also ask for the immediate effect of the agents on blood pressure, but also for data concerning long-term neurodevelopmental outcome, which is very important, since this may be influenced by the different agents independently from blood pressure (direct effects on brain circulation, steroid effects on brain development etc.), however, the literature collected apparently was not focused to answer this question. Thus this should be noted in the text.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

As the authors state themselves, several limitations are given with such a study. For example, whether the use of different agents in different situations (volume deficiency, sepsis, cardiac output failure or others) are not addressed.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 21828

Title: Should Dopamine be the first line inotrope in the treatment of neonatal hypotension? Review of the evidence.

Reviewer's code: 00069139

Reviewer's country: Thailand

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-31 10:34

Date reviewed: 2015-12-02 22:53

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice systematic review providing good insight into commonly used inotropic agents in neonatal care, focusing on preterm infants. Worth publishing. Minor criticise - Ref. 1 has no publish year - It might be more comprehensive if there are a couple of paragraph touching the pathophysiology of hypotension in the premature newborn. (see Ibrahim CPF. Indian Pediatr 2008;45:285. - Physiologic responses of inotropic drugs vary on dosage. It might be clearer to say something about drug dose that was regarded in the systematic review. - Is there any specific conditions that a certain inotrope is preferable, e.g. adreal insufficiency from haemorrhage and steroid?