

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27282

Title: Understanding academic clinicians' intent to treat pediatric obesity

Reviewer's code: 00503689

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-23 13:57

Date reviewed: 2016-05-27 03:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting methodology but more specialties should be involved as children can be managed by other physicians and healthcare personnel. The differences in perception of intervention among medical and surgical specialties should be reviewed. The majority of the questioned sample were of relatively low experience and this may have biased some results. It might have been more valuable in such a pilot study to limit the candidates to one form of practice : s=community based, university etc or provide equal samples and compare between them.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27282

Title: Understanding academic clinicians' intent to treat pediatric obesity

Reviewer's code: 00503255

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-23 13:57

Date reviewed: 2016-06-07 09:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors conducted a multi-disciplinary panel iteratively devised a Likert scale survey based on the constructs of the theory of planned behavior (RPB) applied to a set of pediatric obesity themes for academic clinicians. They reported that perceived behavioral control and subjective norms were the most significant predictors of the intent to treat pediatric obesity, and concluded that enhancing self-confidence in the ability to treat obesity and the existence of supportive environment were important to increase clinician's intent to treat pediatric obesity. The paper is well-written and provides valuable information regarding this field. 1. The authors described that demographic factors accounted for 5.8% of the variance in intent score in the abstract (page 3, line 13). However, it was described as 7.4% in the text (page 10, line 18). Which is right? 2. They also described that demographic variables and TPB subscales predicted 58.4% of the variance in a measure of the intent to treat pediatric obesity in the abstract (page 3, line 14) and in the discussion (page 11, line 10). However, it was described as 56.9% in the result (page 10, line 21). Which is right? 3. Reference No 24: "E Y easy" should be changed to "Efficacy" 4. Reference No.35: Please add a space between "Psychol" and "1998" 5. Reference No. 39: Please add a space between "Pediatrics" and "2002"

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27282

Title: Understanding academic clinicians' intent to treat pediatric obesity

Reviewer's code: 00742209

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-23 13:57

Date reviewed: 2016-06-08 02:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Methods Please clarify the strategy used to identify the 341 participants at the beginning of the study. Please clarify the strategy used to categorize participants by age and years of experience treating pediatric obesity in Table 2. Results Table 5. Please clarify unstandardized and standardized regression coefficients as unadjusted and adjusted regression coefficients. Clarify the p-value refers to the adjusted regression coefficient.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 27282

Title: Understanding academic clinicians' intent to treat pediatric obesity

Reviewer's code: 02446483

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-23 13:57

Date reviewed: 2016-06-13 07:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very good paper, just some questions to refine specifically on Likert scale limitations. Likert scale has specific limitations and this aspect should be highlighted in the manuscript. Moreover, epigenetics should be considered in the discussion. How much environment can influence the perceptions of pediatricians and families?