

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 36204

Title: Neither Hereditary Periodic Fever nor PFAPA: Undifferentiated Periodic Fever in a tertiary pediatric center

Reviewer's code: 02728466

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-10-17

Date reviewed: 2017-10-28

Review time: 11 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written paper. The study is interesting and its limitations were acknowledged by the authors. There are some issues, however, that should be addressed. 1. In table 2 it should be clarified whether the sample size has the power for the detection of statistical significant results. 2. The PRINTO-Eurofever score should be discussed in more details in the discussion section.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 36204

Title: Neither Hereditary Periodic Fever nor PFAPA: Undifferentiated Periodic Fever in a tertiary pediatric center

Reviewer's code: 00503255

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-11-02

Date reviewed: 2017-11-02

Review time: 13 Hours

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To describe the frequency and clinical characteristics of patients with undifferentiated periodic fever (UPF), the authors retrospectively reviewed clinical and genetic data of patients with periodic fever and showed that 23 (10.4%) patients were classified as UPF (12 patients with a clinical picture of PFAPA who refractory to tonsillectomy and 11 patients suspected with hereditary periodic fever [HPF] who had negative genetic result), clinical features of patients with UPF placed half way on a clinical spectrum between PFAPA and HPF, and PRINTO-Eurofever score is not useful to predict clinical outcome and treatment response in these patients. Major point: 1. The authors classified both patients with a clinical picture of PFAPA who refractory to tonsillectomy and patients suspected with HPF who had negative genetic results into the same category as UPF. Is this appropriate classification? May two patient groups are different clinical diseases?



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Patients suspected with HPF who have negative genetic results may have other unknown gene mutations for HPF. Tonsillectomy is effective for not all, but some patients with PFAPA. Two patient groups should be studied separately and should be compared clinical features between two groups, too. Minor points 2. page 4, line 9: "Hereditary Periodic Fever syndrome (HPF)" should be changed to "HPF", because this abbreviation already has described before. 3. page 4, line 11: "HPS" should be changed to "HPF"

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 36204

Title: Neither Hereditary Periodic Fever nor PFAPA: Undifferentiated Periodic Fever in a tertiary pediatric center

Reviewer's code: 02844701

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-11-02

Date reviewed: 2017-11-04

Review time: 2 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors should assess study limitations of this retrospective study and recent references to improve quality of paper

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 36204

Title: Neither Hereditary Periodic Fever nor PFAPA: Undifferentiated Periodic Fever in a tertiary pediatric center

Reviewer's code: 00742209

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-11-02

Date reviewed: 2017-11-07

Review time: 5 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Avoid conducting a statistical comparison among the various treatment groups because there are many limitations to this type of analysis: study was designed for descriptive analysis, inadequate sample size, and likely overlapping treatment modalities (Table 2). Moderate amount of need to correct the English in certain sections of the manuscript, such as the abstract, materials and methods, and results. For example, the abstract is unclear about the process to select patients for the study.



7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 36204

Title: Neither Hereditary Periodic Fever nor PFAPA: Undifferentiated Periodic Fever in a tertiary pediatric center

Reviewer's code: 00646232

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-11-02

Date reviewed: 2017-11-13

Review time: 11 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language	[] The same title	[] High priority for
[] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Duplicate publication	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Plagiarism	[] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[] Minor revision
	[] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Excellent study for a rare disease. No many work done in this research area. Well written

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 36204

Title: Neither Hereditary Periodic Fever nor PFAPA: Undifferentiated Periodic Fever in a tertiary pediatric center

Reviewer's code: 03340662

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-11-13

Date reviewed: 2017-11-14

Review time: 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study and the limitation as retrospective design has been well addressed by the authors 2. The article appears quite lengthy, please cut short 3. Improve English language use 4. How was musculoskeletal pain in 4-months- old infants assessed? 5. Mention the duration and dose of steroid and colchicine therapy 6. In Table 2, total glucocorticoid 21 appears to be not correct, please rectify. 7. It is not clear what were the indications for tonsillectomy? 8. Minimize duplication of information between text and tables

PEER-REVIEW REPORT (submitted via e-mail)

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 36204

Title: Neither Hereditary Periodic Fever nor PFAPA: Undifferentiated Periodic Fever in a tertiary pediatric center

Reviewer's code: 02446483

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-11-13

Date reviewed: 2017-11-20

Review time: 7 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- 1 Thomas criteria need to be detailed and revised according to the AAP suggestions
- 2 A few more diagrams will benefit the reading of the manuscript. The manuscript is well written and has a potential for being a keystone in the Pediatric Literature.