

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 40374

Title: Clinical features of acute kidney injury in patients with Kawasaki disease

Reviewer's code: 03328331

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-06-20

Date reviewed: 2018-06-21

Review time: 1 Day

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Article is more like a mini-review instead of an editorial. It can be written as a review article with addition of clear methodology. How did you collect the data? Which Mesh terms were used to search the literature, what databases were searched, duration?? What definition/ criteria was used as an inclusion criteria? Why do you want to analyse only



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

case reports? For reporting the incidence (point 2), authors discussed only 3 studies (ref 11 & 13); however, after that epidemiology and clinical features were analyzed on the basis of 39 cases reported as case reports. Why didn't you include these two studies for analyzing the clinical features? Is there any specific reason for inclusion of only case reports to analyze the clinical features?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 40374

Title: Clinical features of acute kidney injury in patients with Kawasaki disease

Reviewer's code: 02987549

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-06-20

Date reviewed: 2018-07-02

Review time: 12 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting topic that has been well presented by the author. However, there are minor language edits that are needed before manuscript can be considered for publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 40374

Title: Clinical features of acute kidney injury in patients with Kawasaki disease

Reviewer's code: 00742205

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-07-06

Date reviewed: 2018-07-06

Review time: 12 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author is the expert in Kawasaki disease with acute kidney injury with long track of publications in this topic. The editorial is well written with important information for the readers. I am very impressed about the manuscript and have learned a lot from it.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 40374

Title: Clinical features of acute kidney injury in patients with Kawasaki disease

Reviewer's code: 00074323

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-07-06

Date reviewed: 2018-07-12

Review time: 6 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice review on a rare but relevant clinical problem. The discussion could be made more interesting by a proposal of practical recommendations to handle such problems in the clinical practice. Specific questions: - What criteria have been used to define AKI (KDIGO?) - How should be interpreted creatinine levels in KD? - In the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

Author's experience, what interventions, if any, can prevent the development of AKI in KD? Apart from supportive treatment to improve heart function and to contrast pre-renal kidney failure, are there therapeutic strategies to prevent immune-mediated renal damage? Is there a role for a wider use of glucocorticoids together with intravenous immunoglobulins? When is advisable to consider a biological therapy?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 40374

Title: Clinical features of acute kidney injury in patients with Kawasaki disease

Reviewer's code: 04356732

Reviewer's country: Nigeria

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-07-06

Date reviewed: 2018-07-12

Review time: 6 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written manuscript (an Editorial) on an uncommon condition which will be of interest to Clinicians. The author highlighted the clinical features and trajectories of acute kidney injury (AKI) in patients with Kawasaki disease. However, I would like to point out the following corrections which I consider minor 1. Under Abstract, the term

‘characteristics’ could be replaced with ‘trajectories’ 2. Under Core tip, grammatical errors that need correction include ‘.....despite the developed of multiple organ dysfunction...’ which should read ‘....despite the development of multiple organ dysfunction....’ Similarly, ‘.....glomerular endothelial injury resulted from...’ should read ‘....glomerular endothelial injury resulting from...’ 3. Under Introduction, the second sentence has a minor grammatical error- ‘has yet to be determined’ could be replaced with ‘is yet to be determined’. In the second paragraph, I thought the list is that of renal complications of Kawasaki disease. Why the phrase ‘and unknown causes’? In the last paragraph, the penultimate sentence lacks clarity. Does the author mean ‘it is important to know the causes and clinical features of AKI in hospitalized children with KD’? Last sentence- I suggest ‘trajectories’ for ‘characteristics’ 4. The next subheading should read ‘Prevalence of AKI in patients with KD’ 5. Under the subheading- Clinical manifestations, the first sentence conjunction ‘and AHF developed....’ is better with ‘while AHF developed....’ In the second sentence, systems were listed as dysfunctional organs. Are organs and systems synonymous? Organs rather make up a system 6. Under the subheading- Prerenal AKI, the sentence ‘An intravenous fluid infusion and IVIG therapy resolved AKI following improvement of AHF in both patients’, appears ambiguous. How would improvement of AHF result from intravenous infusion which supposedly increases the preload? However, intravenous infusion and IVIG would understandably lead to resolution of a prerenal AKI by correcting hypovolemia. I suggest a reconstruction of the sentence 7. Under Conclusions, ‘...includes the following conditions....’ could read ‘...and can be caused by the following conditions.’ ‘Unknown causes’ could be replaced with ‘unknown etiologies’. Finally, the second and third sentences are considered redundant and should be deleted.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No