

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 84415

Title: Phallic rubber band application to prevent enuresis unusual cause of urethral stricture in a child: case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05395205

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Postdoc

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Nigeria

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-20 14:31

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-20 14:48

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this case the authors discussed the management of secondary nocturnal enuresis in a 10 -year-old male child with an attendant urethral stricture. Some concerns are listed as below: Potential relationship regarding urethral stricture following applications of the rubber band to the phallus is not clear. Potential complications include acute complications such as erosion of skin, corpus with urethral transection or gangrene of distal tip, and autoamputation have been reported in the literature.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 84415

Title: Phallic rubber band application to prevent enuresis unusual cause of urethral stricture in a child: case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03815884

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Surgeon, Teaching Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Slovenia

Author's Country/Territory: Nigeria

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-16

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-28 05:31

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-28 09:48

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, The topic of your paper is interesting. However, there are few things missing: - you put too much emphasis on enuresis but you did not emphasise importance of ectopic ureter as cause of enuresis - is elastic band commonly used in cases of enuresis in your country? - the case presentation is missing some data/some data is not clear: 1. how many CT scans did you performed in this case: one or two?

2. what was the cause for the acute urinary retention? stricture? urinary tract infection? which approach did you use - percutaneous? surgical/open? what kind of tube did you insert? were there any complications with the tube? how long it remained in situ after first/second surgery? did patient received any antibiotic while cystotomy tube was in situ (if yes for how long and which antibiotic)? 3. your patient required transfusion; what is indication? cause for anemia? what kind of blood products did he receive? how many units?

4. Figure 1 -> 1B the abdomen could not be clearly seen; 1C the resolution of figure is poor; you should provide enlarged/zoom picture of the stenosis 5. you also performed IV urography; how much radiation the patient received? wouldn't it be better to perform one high quality CTU instead of one IVU + 1

or 2 CT (from the manuscript it is not clear how many CTs you performed)? if you performed 2 CTs (one before 1st surgery and the other before the 2nd, how that the ectopic ureter was not detected on the first CT? 6. regarding 1st surgery - do you have any photo material from the surgical procedure to add to the manuscript? 7. regarding 2nd surgery - more data about surgery is missing; did you use any ureteric stents? what about antibiotic profilaxis? surgery duration? blood loss? what have you done with the ureter orifice in the prostatic urethra? kind of urine drainage after surgery (cystotomy? urinary catheter?) 8. the quality(resolution) of the CT scans is poor; maybe you can use red or yellow arrows instead of white 9. about follow up - how long is it after surgery? does patient has any complications? how often are you seeing him during follow up?

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 84415

Title: Phallic rubber band application to prevent enuresis unusual cause of urethral stricture in a child: case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05395205

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Postdoc

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Nigeria

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-16

Reviewer chosen by: Yuan-Yuan Fu (Quit 2023)

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-23 23:45

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-23 23:46

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have answered my questions.