

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8203

**Title:** LAPAROSCOPIC SINGLE SITE SURGERY (LESS): EXPERIENCE IN THE PEDIATRIC UROLOGY

**Reviewer code:** 00646310

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-20 17:14

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-21 21:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Sections on history, NOTES are inappropriate and hence needs to be removed. 2. A comparative photograph of the variopus access devices will be useful. A comparative account of them, preferably in the form of a table, may be useful. 3. What is HLSV? It should be expanded. 4. What was the indication of hysterectomy in pediatric age group? If the hysterectomy was in an adult patient it can not be included in the series. 5. Is it a review article or original article? If it is a review article authors experience should be removed. If it is an original article, it should be in IMRAD format and the extensive descriptions of the technique and devices should be reduced to few paragraphs.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8203

**Title:** LAPAROSCOPIC SINGLE SITE SURGERY (LESS): EXPERIENCE IN THE PEDIATRIC UROLOGY

**Reviewer code:** 00505682

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-20 17:14

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-29 04:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. History section should be removed. 2. What is HSLV? 3. The sections explaining devices should be shortened. 4. The author's experience should be removed that this is a review article. 5. A few examples of recent literature may be included in this manuscript.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8203

**Title:** LAPAROSCOPIC SINGLE SITE SURGERY (LESS): EXPERIENCE IN THE PEDIATRIC UROLOGY

**Reviewer code:** 00505635

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-20 17:14

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-06 23:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting topic. The general part of the text not relevant to pediatric population (initial 11 pages and 22 references) should be reduced. The number of figures could be reduced. For example, figures 1,4,6,7 could be deleted. One of the future challenges for LESS could be the treatment of nephrolithiasis. The following reference for the current treatment of pediatric nephrolithiasis could be added: Urol Res. 2010 Feb;38(1):1-5.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8203

**Title:** LAPAROSCOPIC SINGLE SITE SURGERY (LESS): EXPERIENCE IN THE PEDIATRIC UROLOGY

**Reviewer code:** 00467918

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-20 17:14

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-14 00:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, This is one well-documented and nice presented review on LESS experience in pediatric urology, including decent data and results. Despite the fact that total cases are still low in volume the importance of this technology in pediatric population is high and such reviews worth to be published. This manuscript has few minor grammatical errors, OPUS is mentioned twice, acronyms such as HLSV and BHLSV are not defined. Finally, perhaps a blood loss column would fit nice in the Results table.