

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10682

Title: Non-Pharmacologic Options for the Management of Voiding Dysfunction in Multiple Sclerosis

Reviewer code: 00468214

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-04-15 13:34

Date reviewed: 2014-04-16 15:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviews are not summaries. An insight into the topic is mandatory! What does this review add to Literature?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10682

Title: Non-Pharmacologic Options for the Management of Voiding Dysfunction in Multiple Sclerosis

Reviewer code: 00470156

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-04-15 13:34

Date reviewed: 2014-06-02 02:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Introduction 1.1st paragraph: During voiding synergy..... Please define which sphincter (external-internal) does not relax ? 2,2nd paragraph: Pharmacologic agents for overactive..... This is rather theoretical Urodynamics 1.1st paragraph: Urodynamics is a broad Please add and bladder diary as a bladder tool. 2. 1st paragraph ...this is called video-urodynamics... Despite video-urodynamics is the common term used to describe this technique the right term is rather Fluoro-urodynamics 3. 1st paragraph ...and detrusor dyssynergia..... What condition is more common ,detrusor -interior sphincter dyssynergia or detrusor -external sphincter dyssynergia? 4. 2nd paragraph: Please add a comment that in MS neuropathic bladder upper urinary tract deterioration is rather uncommon . Neuropathic bladder as a result of MS is" benign neuropathic bladder". Catheters 1. ...of patients with develop UTIs... Please correct to the right ...of patients will develop UTIs Botulinum Toxin Injections 1. 1st paragraph: In 2006....returned to baseline I think this paragraph would be better to be moved to the section of Botulinum Toxin for DSD Botulinum Toxin for DSD 1. A comment in this section, that this treatment it is not standardized regarding the number of units for treatment and that it is of label treatment it is needed. Urinary diversion and bladder augmentetion 1. 6th paragraph:on in the management of these patients. I think the authors have to put at this point the mention of the table as follows:on in the management of these patients (table 1). 2. There is not any mention for artificial sphincter in patients with neuropathic bladder because of MS. Is there any place for this?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10682

Title: Non-Pharmacologic Options for the Management of Voiding Dysfunction in Multiple Sclerosis

Reviewer code: 00505686

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-04-15 13:34

Date reviewed: 2014-06-09 03:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The comment of mine review has been send to the editor of the journal.