

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10993

**Title:** Functional and Metabolic Complications of Prostate Cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00724492

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-30 12:13

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-11 14:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author, The authors describe the review of prostate cancer complications. The article is well written, the research article add to our knowledge . It offers new insights on treatment. As such, the manuscript is given a higher rating. Best regards...

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10993

**Title:** Functional and Metabolic Complications of Prostate Cancer

**Reviewer code:** 02471477

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-30 12:13

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-15 17:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor comments: 1. Page 1, Introduction, 1st paragraph, please use the most updated cancer statistics 2014. 2. Please define the abbreviations at their first use. For example, SEER, GNRH, IV, HbA1c, IM, bid PO. 3. Page 5, cardiovascular complications, 3rd paragraph, does the description of this paragraph relate to cardiovascular complications?

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10993

**Title:** Functional and Metabolic Complications of Prostate Cancer

**Reviewer code:** 02471477

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-30 12:13

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-15 17:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor comments: 1. Page 1, Introduction, 1st paragraph, please use the most updated cancer statistics 2014. 2. Please define the abbreviations at their first use. For example, SEER, GNRH, IV, HbA1c, IM, bid PO. 3. Page 5, cardiovascular complications, 3rd paragraph, does the description of this paragraph relate to cardiovascular complications?

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10993

**Title:** Functional and Metabolic Complications of Prostate Cancer

**Reviewer code:** 02976953

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-30 12:13

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-17 17:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review of World Journal of Clinical Urology submitted article no 10993 Functional and metabolic complications of prostate cancer Evaluation: Overall poor manuscript. Scientific writing Rejection The scientific content is within the scope of the journal. The article has serious limitations. It is not a systematic review. A systematic review should follow existing guidelines. This review did not follow any of the PRISMA guidelines. It is a narrative review with few critical analyses. There are many inconclusive conclusions. It relies much on SEER analyses that may or - in my opinion - may not be the best evidence. It does not give relevant information on prostate cancer e.g. mortality rates. A study in Denmark showed a 5 year survival less than 50%. The discrepancy between routine results and those from leading centers in the world is puzzling. The manuscript simplifies treatment of prostate cancer to ADT, which is not standard treatment of primary prostate cancer (radical prostatectomy and external beam radiotherapy). It does give a systematic overview of available variants of ADT that may have different metabolic impact on patients. It did not reflect the old trials of Veteran Administration hospitals that limited ADT as a gain for the patients for only a subgroup with metastatic prostate cancer. It did not describe new treatments for castrate resistant prostate cancer like abiraterone acetate and enzalutamide. As the subtitle is "prostate cancer complications" I miss description of serious bone metastases complications like medullary compression syndrome, an oncologic emergency. The review recommends physical activity as a way to cope with the negative metabolic effects from ADT like sarcopenia. These approaches have limitations. A famous