

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10983

Title: Best surgical treatment for very large benign prostatic obstruction (BPO)

Reviewer code: 00468558

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-04-30 13:40

Date reviewed: 2014-05-07 00:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is a thoroughly insight in the surgical treatment of large (> 80 mL) and very large (>120 mL) prostate. Authors should be congratulated for their review which summarizes the best options available and their results. I would add only some minor issues to the discussion. For example, in case of a concomitant significant bladder stone burden, large bladder diverticula, open surgery remains the only available option nowadays. Moreover some comments to the limited diffusion of HOLEP, notwithstanding its long term introduction in the clinical practice, would be welcomed.