

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16133

**Title:** Reconstructive surgery in Peyronie Disease: What's new ?

**Reviewer's code:** 00505681

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-29 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-30 18:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review is trying to cover the management of Peyronie's disease, but unfortunately it needs more details about the techniques used in the Peyronie's surgery. It has lots of grammar problems that need to be solved.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16133

**Title:** Reconstructive surgery in Peyronie Disease: What's new ?

**Reviewer's code:** 02897104

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-29 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-31 01:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, compliments for your editorial. The main aspect of your topic have been discussed. However I would try to implement the debate on the surgical techniques. Lengthening procedure has been recently discussed (I would add for example the circular incision as an alternative to the sliding technique which you correctly cited). The grammar has to be improved (mabe you should refer to a native-language translator). Best regards

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Urology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16133

**Title:** Reconstructive surgery in Peyronie Disease: What's new ?

**Reviewer's code:** 02045100

**Reviewer's country:** Switzerland

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-29 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-08 19:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

We can not say to patients with PD that you will length the penis. It is perilous. But you should talk about straightening. The cosmetic results of shortening procedures are goos. The problem is shortening. The Egidyo technique is not extremely complex... Any urologist that manages with Peyronie's disease could employ this kind of technique that is not new, as reported. This technique employ knowledge obtained from the previous techniques published. And the kind of the graft is chosen by the urologist. Austoni technique: special silicone axial support IS a penile prosthesis. This alternative is only indicated for patients with PD and ED....Not for all. And any surgical technique should permit the identification of the point of maximum curvature, otherwise, the patch is not appropriate. I do not know the meaning of ... This is a sign of successful ...