

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26359

Title: Enuresis and sleep disordered breathing: An old and new link

Reviewer's code: 02584581

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-08 11:12

Date reviewed: 2016-04-15 05:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for this nice review, certainly anything we can do to improve our knowledge and treatment of enuresis is welcome. Furthermore, we may help identify a true health risk in an otherwise benign-appearing complaint. > my main concern is that your review focuses on a number of manuscripts, but your recommendations do not seem to use their findings. For example: Recommendation 1) What criteria is a urologist to use to determine the risk of SDP? Bascom et Al., recommended the presence of snoring as an indication for referral to ENT / otolaryngology / or sleep medicine. There are at least two well validated questionnaires that are also used as screening tools (OSA-18 and PSQ). Recommendation 2) Again, the general practitioner needs to have a criteria for diagnosis / screening tool Recommendation 3) How do you define refractory enuresis? Recommendation 4) Are you suggesting that all patients seen for enuresis (regardless of snoring, questionnaire scores, or clinical success) undergo polysomnography? If so, this would negate your suggestions in 1-3.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26359

Title: Enuresis and sleep disordered breathing: An old and new link

Reviewer's code: 00505700

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-08 11:12

Date reviewed: 2016-04-20 23:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written editorial on a very important topic. Comments: The article heavily focuses on clinical associations paying little attention to mechanistic correlations of SDB and NE. Please provide a brief description of differences between central sleep apnea and obstruction sleep apnea. Do both conditions correlate with NE or obstructive type only? Please spell out SDB in the second paragraph of introduction. Introduction, third paragraph, last statement: It is stated that both NE and SDB are sleep disorders. These are urological and respiratory conditions associated with sleep but should not be categorized as sleep disorders.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26359

Title: Enuresis and sleep disordered breathing: An old and new link

Reviewer's code: 00503201

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-08 11:12

Date reviewed: 2016-05-04 20:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is very well written manuscript with high clinical relevance. The topic is very important and the case is presented adequately.