

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29854

Title: Chronic kidney disease after radical nephrectomy for suspected renal cancers

Reviewer's code: 02454185

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-09-01 10:07

Date reviewed: 2016-11-09 20:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, this is a well rewritten review article addressing important issues in CKD occurrence in patients with nephrectomy. I have some suggestions for the authors to improve the manuscript. 1. I suggest to add a figure to the epidemiology section to give readers a clear understanding of the rate. the incidence can be depicted against different group populations. 2. a table can be helpful to summarize risk factors for CKD, risk factors, risk ratio and relevant confidence interval can be helpful. 3. Is there studies reported other novel renal biomarkers that can help to predict CKD in this target population? since these biomarkers were well studied in other populations. these include among others NGAL, cystatin C and even lactate. these biomarkers can be discussed in the section. Some references can be cited such as: Heart Lung Vessel. 2015;7(1):64-73. ; Am J Kidney Dis. 2011 Sep;58(3):356-65. Clin Nephrol. 2013 May;79(5):356-61. If there is no such studies, this can be put in the FUTURE RESEARCH section.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29854

Title: Chronic kidney disease after radical nephrectomy for suspected renal cancers

Reviewer's code: 00503339

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-09-01 10:07

Date reviewed: 2016-11-09 22:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A provocative and potential important data summary and analysis of how current unilateral renal cancers are managed. It would have been helpful to include a listing of your key inferences and suggestions for needed studies as a stimulus to those Centers now performing large numbers of partial and/or complete nephrectomies for management of unilateral kidney malignancy. Overall, a stimulating analysis provoking the need to address the issues you have clearly raised.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29854

Title: Chronic kidney disease after radical nephrectomy for suspected renal cancers

Reviewer's code: 00468558

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-09-01 10:07

Date reviewed: 2016-11-25 19:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review is extremely accurate, well written and concise. I would only add a table containing a short list of the identified risk factors which maybe very useful for the readers

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29854

Title: Chronic kidney disease after radical nephrectomy for suspected renal cancers

Reviewer's code: 02495934

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-09-01 10:07

Date reviewed: 2016-11-29 16:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is well-marked that this paper may be an incremental contribution of the manuscript to the field.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29854

Title: Chronic kidney disease after radical nephrectomy for suspected renal cancers

Reviewer's code: 02446717

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-09-01 10:07

Date reviewed: 2016-09-04 20:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review focuses on Chronic kidney disease after radical nephrectomy for suspected renal cancers. It is well written and stated the core tip clearly. I have some concerns. There are many literatures on this topic published recently, and I think they should be cited and discussed. In addition, there some grammar errors should be revised.