

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Immunology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11867

Title: Role of cytokines and other factors involved in the Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection

Reviewer's code: 02908828

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-06-10 10:55

Date reviewed: 2014-07-16 00:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have written a rather comprehensive review of cytokines associated with TB infections. This has clearly taken much effort on their part, and their review is very comprehensive. The authors talk about the strain, but their primary focus is on the role of cytokines. The review of Tb strains would be best limited to differences for cytokine responses, which are minimal. Therefore, if the authors are asked to shorten the manuscript, then I suggest the review of TB strains be limited to their differences to cytokine response.

Minor comments:

- the authors should fix the typo in their title.
- There are other grammatical errors, such as extra spacing, that should be addressed.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

Overall, this is a very comprehensive review and I would recommend it for publication after minor revisions.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Immunology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11867

Title: Role of cytokines and other factors involved in the Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection

Reviewer's code: 00608210

Reviewer's country: Thailand

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-06-10 10:55

Date reviewed: 2014-07-07 10:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, I thank you very much for giving the opportunity to review this manuscript. I appreciate this work and would like to accept it for publication. However, I have some comments. 1. Please check for grammar and spelling. 2. Introduction is too long. Some sentences do not relate to the title of the manuscript. 3. Conclusion is too long. 4. I do not understand figure 2. Are there any arrows? In addition, some figures have problem.