

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Immunology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21639

Title: Noncanonical intercellular communication in immune response

Reviewer's code: 00410327

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-08-05 18:04

Date reviewed: 2015-08-31 19:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is quite well written. It represents a comprehensive review of the topic. It would be useful for the readers to include the discussion of PMID: 21527528.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Immunology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21639

Title: Noncanonical intercellular communication in immune response

Reviewer's code: 02445571

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-08-05 18:04

Date reviewed: 2015-09-16 22:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a minireview paper regarding noncanonical intercellular communication in immune response. Besides of canonical routes of cellular interaction, the noncanonical routes are also important for better understand the complicated cellular communication network. Therefore, the main theme of this paper is interesting and meaningful. The paper was well written and has clearly addressed each noncanonical pathway, respectively. The weak point is very simple conclusion. It would be better to summarize the potential relationship or interaction or regulation among those noncanonical routes and the interaction between noncanonical and canonical pathways.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Immunology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21639

Title: Noncanonical intercellular communication in immune response

Reviewer's code: 03401827

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-08-05 18:04

Date reviewed: 2015-09-19 23:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript by Kloc and colleagues aims at providing a short review of literature about some non canonical intercellular ways of communication in the immune response. The topic is certainly of potential interest for the Readers. However, although I acknowledge that dealing with this subject involves difficulties, I also think that significant revisions are necessary to improve the quality of the manuscript. General issues - Each paragraph develops as an apparently disjointed collection of evidences and sterile lists of ideas are frequent throughout the text. In contrast to original reports in which sober presentation of data is required to avoid distortions of reality, review papers are expected to give the Readers an interpretation of previously published data and to highlight common rules or transversal concepts underlying and connecting isolated discoveries. I suggest the authors to try to rebuild the logical sequence of each chapter in order to give a more comprehensive view of each biological phenomenon. A possible logical sequence could be: biological phenomena at the (inter)cellular level --> consequence for the immune response and/or one of its branches --> clinical fallouts. - Much of the potential interest in these non canonical ways of cellular networking lies in the role of these processes in the natural history of some diseases and in modulating the response to



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

therapy. I suggest the author to detail the clinical implications of physiological and pathological non canonical cell signalling throughout the text. - Consistently with the previous points, the conclusive section of the paper is extremely short and poor. A final resuming paragraph should be added. - The English language could be improved. Specific issues - Extracellular Traps: besides NETs and METs I suggest the authors to include some information about the recently described eosinophil extracellular traps - Besides exosomal signalling, intercellular communication through microparticles should be described.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Immunology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21639

Title: Noncanonical intercellular communication in immune response

Reviewer's code: 00458932

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-08-05 18:04

Date reviewed: 2015-09-28 19:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the manuscript is minor language polishing. I have mention many changes to be done