

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Surgical Procedures

Manuscript NO: 75485

Title: Freehand-Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery: Initial Experience in

the Trinidad and Tobago

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06073015 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Postdoc, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-02 10:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-10 08:56

**Review time:** 7 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, the paper is good. The authors illustrated their initial experience in a pilot study using the FreeHand® robotic camera holder (Freehand 2010 Ltd., Guildford, Surrey, UK) for laparoscopic colorectal surgery in Trinidad & Tobago. However, the sample size is really limited and only five patients were enrolled in the trial. Additionally, the lack of follow-up evaluation including oncological and functional outcome after colorectal surgery is another limitation. I hope the author could make a follow-up and recruit more patients in the revision file, otherwise it could not fulfill the criteria of publication in the form of pilot study.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Surgical Procedures

Manuscript NO: 75485

Title: Freehand-Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery: Initial Experience in

the Trinidad and Tobago

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06081418 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-21 10:43

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-21 11:11

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



Baishideng **Publishing** 

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, Thanks for your paper. It has a good language and scientific quality. The use of freehand device is not very common, but I find it useful in some settings. However, you described this as pilot study, but I didn't find which are the primary and secondary endpoints of this study. What do you want to demonstrate? Is there a control group vs. another? Please, elaborate.